

CONSIDERATION OF ESG FACTORS IN THE BENCHMARK METHODOLOGY		
Item 1. Name of the benchmark administrator.	STOXX Ltd.	
Item 2. Type of benchmark.	Equity	
Item 3. Name of the benchmark.	Benchmark - STOXX USA 900 Paris-Aligned Benchmark Family - STOXX Environmental Social & Governance Family	
Item 4. Does the methodology take into account ESG factors?	Yes	
Item 5. ESG factors taken into account for benchmark family.		
(a) List of environmental factors considered	<p>This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings; Oil & Gas; Thermal Coal; Nuclear Power; Power Generation from Fossil Fuels; Subsectorial Classification.</p> <p>This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by selection: Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings.</p> <p>This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by weighting: Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings; EU Climate Transition Benchmark EU CTB)requirements; EU Paris Aligned Benchmark (EU PAB) requirements</p>	
(b) List of social factors considered	<p>This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Controversy Rating; Social Rating, Overall ESG Rating; controversial Weapons; Weapons (Small Arms & Military Contracting); Nuclear Power; Tobacco; Adult Environmental; Alcoholic Beverages; Fur & Speciality Leather; Gambling; Abortion; Contraceptives; Human Embryonic Stem Cells; Genetically Modified Plants & Seeds; Predatory Lending, Subsectorial Classification.</p> <p>This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by selection: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating.</p> <p>This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by weighting: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating.</p>	
(c) List of governance factors considered.	<p>This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating.</p> <p>This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by selection: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating.</p> <p>This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by weighting: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating.</p>	
Item 6. ESG factors applied for the stated benchmark.		
(a) List of environmental factors considered	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
	UN Sustainable Development Goal 12,13,14,15 Obstruction	Exclusion

	Product Involvement -Coal Exploration, Production, Distribution	Exclusion
	Product Involvement -Fossil Fuel Revenues	Exclusion
	Product Involvement - Power Generation from Oil & Gas	Exclusion
	Controversy Rating - Severe	Exclusion
	EU PAB Requirements	Weighting
(b) List of social factors considered	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
	Business Involvement – Controversial Weapons	Exclusion
	Product Involvement – Tobacco Production	Exclusion
	Controversy Rating - Severe	Exclusion
(c) List of governance factors considered.	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
	Controversy Rating - Severe	Exclusion
Description of factors considered.	<p>UNGC Violations: Sustainalytics' Global Standards Screening provides an assessment of a company's impact on stakeholders and the extent to which a company causes, contributes or is linked to violations of international norms and standards (UNGC, OECD, UNGPs and their underlying conventions).</p> <p>Controversial Weapons: Sustainalytics' Controversial Weapons Radar monitors company involvement in the following areas: anti-personnel mines, biological and chemical weapons, cluster weapons, depleted uranium, nuclear weapons and white phosphorus weapons.</p> <p>Controversy Rating: Sustainalytics assesses companies' involvement in incidents with negative ESG implications. A controversy is defined as an event or aggregation of events relating to an ESG topic and is measured by its severity on a scale of 1 to 5 (1- Low, 2- Moderate, 3- Significant, 4- High, 5- Severe).</p> <p>Product Involvement: ISS ESG Research identifies corporate revenues from a range of product categories. More detailed information on the application of these categories is available within the relevant methodology guides. https://www.stoxx.com/rulebooks</p> <p>EU PAB Requirements – The overall portfolio is weighted to meet the EU Paris Aligned Benchmark (EU PAB) requirements as outlined in the Report on Benchmarks Handbook, https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/business_economy_euro/banking_and_finance/documents/192020-sustainable-finance-teg-benchmarks-handbook_en_0.pdf</p>	
Item 7. Data and standards used		
(a) Data input.	<p>The data are sourced externally from:</p> <p>(i) Sustainalytics, a wholly owned subsidiary of Morningstar Inc. https://www.sustainalytics.com/</p> <p>(iii) ISS ESG, a subsidiary of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc https://www.issgovernance.com/esg/</p>	

(b) Verification and quality of data.	The verification and quality of data are checked both externally and internally by automated and manual quality assurance processes involving, inter alia, change control; change mapping; ID mapping; exception reporting; pre-publication reviews; continuous incident monitoring; quarterly rebalancing and centralised definitions.
(c) Reference standards	<p>UNGC Violations: The United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) Principles, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and their underlying conventions.</p> <p>UN Sustainable Development Goal 12,13,14,15 Obstruction – Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts.</p> <p>Controversial Weapons: International treaties and conventions used to define controversial Weapons include Non-proliferation Treaty (1968), Biological and Toxin Weapons convention (1972), Chemical Weapons Convention (1997), Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention (1999), Convention on Cluster Munitions (2008), United Nations Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (1980), and Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (1980).</p>
Date on which information has been last updated and reason for the update:	<p>November 2022 - Fourth Version</p> <p>Addition of factor Controversy Rating and updated UN Sustainable Development Goal 13 Obstruction to UN Sustainable Development Goal 12,13,14,15 Obstruction</p>