Item 1. Name of the benchmark administrator.	STOXX Ltd.	
Item 2. Type of benchmark.	Equity	
Item 3. Name of the benchmark.	Benchmark - STOXX Global 1800 Climate Transition Benchmark Family - STOXX Environmental Social & Governance Family	
Item 4. Does the methodology take into account ESG factors?	Yes	
Item 5. ESG factors taken into a	account for benchmark family.	
(a) List of environmental factors considered	This benchmark family takes the following environmental factor Global Standards Screening; Controversy Ratings; Environmental Ratings; Unconventional Oil & Gas; Conventional Oil & Gas; Modified Plants & Seeds; Pesticides; Palm Oil; Power General Subsectorial Classification.	ental Ratings, Overall ESG Thermal Coal; Genetically
	This benchmark family takes the following environmental factor Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings	ors into account by selection:
	This benchmark family takes the following environmental factor Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings; EU Climate Tra requirements; EU Paris Aligned Benchmark (EU PAB) require	nsition Benchmark (EU CTB
(b) List of social factors considered	This benchmark family takes the following social factors into a Standards Screening; Controversy Rating; Social Rating, Ove Controversial Weapons; Weapons (Small Arms & Military Cor Tobacco; Adult Entertainment; Alcoholic Beverages; Fur & Sp Abortion; Contraceptives; Human Embryonic Stem Cells; Gen Seeds; Predatory Lending; Subsectorial Classification.	rall ESG Rating; htracting); Nuclear Power; eciality Leather; Gambling;
	This benchmark family takes the following social factors into a Rating; Overall ESG Rating	account by selection: Social
	This benchmark family takes the following social factors into a Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	account by weighting: Social
(c) List of governance factors considered.	This benchmark family takes the following governance factors Global Standards Screening; Governance Rating; Overall ESC	
	This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by selection: Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
	This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by weighting: Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating.	
Item 6. ESG factors applied for	the stated benchmark.	
(a) List of environmental	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion
factors considered	Controversy Rating – Severe	Exclusion

	EU CTB Requirements	Weighting	
			Wei
(b) List of social factors considered	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion	
	Business Involvement – Controversial Weapons	Exclusion	
	Product Involvement – Tobacco Productions	Exclusion	
	Controversy Rating – Severe	Exclusion	
(c) List of governance factors considered.	UN Global Compact Violations	Exclusion	
	Controversy Rating – Severe	Exclusion	
Description of factors considered.	UNGC Violations: Sustainalytics' Global Standards Screening provides an assessment of a company's impact on stakeholders and the extent to which a company causes, contributes or is linked to violations of international norms and standards (UNGC, OECD, UNGPs and their underlying conventions). Controversial Weapons: Sustainalytics' Controversial Weapons Radar monitors company involvement in the following areas: anti-personnel mines, biological and chemical weapons, cluster weapons, depleted uranium, nuclear weapons and white phosphorus weapons. Controversy Rating: Sustainalytics assesses companies' involvement in incidents with negative ESG implications. A controversy is defined as an event or aggregation of events relating to an ESG topic and is measured by its severity on a scale of 1 to 5 (1- Low, 2-Moderate, 3- Significant, 4- High, 5- Severe). Product Involvement: Sustainalytics' Product Involvement Research identifies companies' involvement on a range of product involvement categories. More detailed information on the application of these categories is available within the relevant methodology guides. https://www.stoxx.com/rulebooks EU CTB Requirements – The overall portfolio is weighted to meet the EU Climate Transition Benchmark (EU CTB) requirements as outlined in the Report on Benchmarks Handbook, https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/business_economy_euro/banking_and_finance/documents/192020-sustainable-finance-teg-benchmarks-handbook_en_0.pdf		
Item 7. Data and standards use	ed		
(a) Data input.	The data are sourced externally from: (i) Sustainalytics, a wholly owned subsidiary of Moriningstar Inc. https://www.sustainalytics.com/ (iii) ISS ESG, a subsidiary of Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. https://www.issgovernance.com/esg/		
(b) Verification and quality of data.	The verification and quality of data are checked both externally and internally by automated and manual quality assurance processes involving, inter alia, change control; change mapping; ID mapping; exception reporting; pre-publication reviews; continuous incident monitoring; quarterly rebalancing and centralised definitions.		

(c) Reference standards	UNGC Violations: The United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) Principles, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and their underlying conventions.
	Controversial Weapons: International treaties and conventions used to define Controversial Weapons include Non-proliferation Treaty (1968), Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (1972), Chemical Weapons Convention (1997), Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention (1999), Convention on Cluster Munitions (2008), United Nations Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (1980), and Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (1980).
Date on which information has been last updated and reason for the update:	November 2022 - Second Version Addition of factor Controversy Rating