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Two-stage approach to the investment management process:

- Create the maximum Sharpe-ratio risky portfolio, Optimal Combination of Risky Assets (OCRA)
- Apply dynamic trading strategies between OCRA and the risk-free asset and/or use derivatives to optimize the portfolio for its specific goal

Creating OCRA

- Market cap-weighted portfolio: foundation component of the optimal combination of risky assets (OCRA)
- Failure of the CAPM implies market portfolio is not OCRA and therefore alpha exists relative to the passive market portfolio benchmark
- Sources of alpha: seeking to create superior performance over the market portfolio
- Traditional alpha vs. financial-services alpha: performance and sustainability
- Traditional alpha vs. dimensional alpha: performance and sustainability
- The search for dimensional alpha
- Hedge funds: a case study exploring alpha-source performance attribution
- Applying the three sources of alpha to improve asset management
- Global Diversification Pays: A “Free” Alpha
Domain of Investment Management

Stages of production process for a specified investment goal

- Passive Benchmark Market Portfolio
- Efficient Diversification
- Active Asset-Class Allocation
- Macro Sector
- Market Timing
- Non-CAPM Equilibrium

- Superior Performing Micro Aggregate
- Excess-Return Portfolio
- "Alpha Engines"

- Components of max Sharpe-ratio risky assets-only portfolio
- Diversification risk modulation

- Risk modulation through hedging or leveraging risky portfolio
- Constrained asset holdings
- OCRA market timing active management

- Super Efficient Max Sharpe Ratio Portfolio of Risky Assets

- Optimal Mean-Variance Portfolio

- Combine with State-Variable Hedging Portfolios

- Alter Shape of Returns on Underlying Optimal Portfolio to Fit Goal

- Structured Efficient Form of Returns to the Portfolio

For illustrative purposes only.

Tailor payoffs to specific goal

- Dynamic portfolio strategies and derivatives to create nonlinear payoffs
- Risk modulation with insurance or non-linear leverage
- Pre-programmed dynamic trading
- “Building block” state-contingent securities to create specialized payout patterns

- Expropriation efficient
- Regulatory efficient
- Liquidity tradeoff
- Transaction cost efficient
Constructing the Optimal Combination of Risky Assets (OCRA)

Start with the market cap-weighted passive index for maximum diversification.

If CAPM does not hold, then it is possible to increase the Sharpe ratio over the passive benchmark; thus “alphas” from active management exist but they must be identified.

Three different sources of alpha:

- Market information inefficiency: traditional alpha
- Market frictions and institutional rigidities: financial-services alpha
- Other systematic risks in addition to market-portfolio beta: dimensional alpha

The passive and active components are combined to create OCRA.
Failure of CAPM Implies Alpha Exists for Market Portfolio Benchmark
Stages of production process for a specified investment goal

Possible reasons for CAPM failure:

- Empirical Deviations from CAPM
  Black, Jensen and Scholes (1972); Fama and MacBeth (1973); Fama/French (1992)
- Market Information Inefficiency: Traditional Alpha
- Market Frictions: Affected by Technology, Institutions, and Regulation
  - Institutional rigidities from regulation or charter/prospectus restrictions/requirements
  - Taxes and accounting rules
  - Leverage inefficiency; borrowing constraints
- Other Dimensions of Risk besides Market Beta
- Hedging roles for securities in addition to diversification
- Uncertainty about the future investment opportunity set; i.e., changing interest rates, volatility and Sharpe ratio risks
- Uncertainty about human capital labor income
- Uncertainty about inflation and the menu of possible consumption goods in the future
- Uncertainty about relative prices of consumption goods;
- Uncertainty about liquidity
- Uncertainty about mortality and longevity
- Intertemporal Capital Asset Pricing Model (Merton 1973, 1975)
- Arbitrage-Pricing Theory Asset Pricing Model (Ross 1976)
- Consumption-based Capital Asset Pricing Model (Breeden 1979)
- Fama/French 3- or 4-Factor Model (reduced-form model)

\[ \overline{R}_j = R_f + \sum_{m=1}^{m} \beta_{jk} [E_k - R_f] \]

Where \( \beta_{jk} \) is the (theoretical) multiple-regression coefficient from regressing the return on security \( j \) on the returns on the “m” dimension portfolios, “E1,...,Em”
Market Cap-Weighted Index Portfolio is Always an Important Component of the Optimal Combination of Risky Assets

\[ R = R_f + S\sigma \]
\[ S = \text{Sharpe Ratio} \]

\[ \bar{R}_\rho = R_f + \beta_\rho (\bar{R}_M - R_f) + \alpha_\rho \]
Domain of Investment Management

Asset-Class Allocation example: Macro-Sector Market Timing “Long-Short” combinations to change fractional allocations from Benchmark Weights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Benchmark Weight</th>
<th>Long (Short) Incremental</th>
<th>Revised Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small Cap Equity</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>+5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Cap Equity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Cap Equity</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Market Equity</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Fixed-Income</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Engine #1
US Risk Arbitrage Hedge Fund

Engine #2
Technical Analysis of Equities Fund

Engine #3
Fundamental Analysis of Equities Fund

Engine #4
Foreign Currency Forecast Fund

Engine #5
Private Equity Fund

Engine #N
Mortgage-Back Security Relative Value Fund

Goal: Super-Performing Micro Aggregate Excess-Return Portfolio

For illustrative purposes only. There is no guarantee strategies will be successful.
Seeking Superior and Sustainable Investment Performance

Traditional alpha vs. financial-services alpha

Traditional alpha: market informational inefficiency

• Depends on being faster, smarter, better models or better information inputs

• Is it sustainable? Is it scalable?

• Kenneth French1, “The Cost of Active Investing,” Journal of Finance (August 2008) compares the fees, expenses, and trading costs society pays to invest in the US stock market with an estimate of what would be paid if everyone invested passively. Averaging over 1980 to 2006, finds that investors spend 0.67% of the aggregate value of the market each year searching for superior returns. Society’s capitalized cost of price discovery is at least 10% of the current market cap. Under reasonable assumptions, the typical investor would increase his average annual return by 67 basis points over the 1980 to 2006 period if he switched to a passive market portfolio.

• Non-economic costs and benefits

Financial-services alpha: financial intermediation of institutional rigidities and market frictions

• Depends critically on being lightly regulated, with highly skilled professionals who can identify which rigidities are binding; diagnose which security prices are impacted by the rigidities; devise an efficient trading strategy to provide “the other side” of the trade to alleviate the impact of the rigidity on affected institutions; and earn an intermediation fee in the form of the excess return on the strategy. Other helpful but not essential advantages: strong credit-standing, long-horizon, flexible liquidity needs, large pool of assets, reputational capital, and sponsorship value.

• Is it sustainable? Is it scalable?

• Hedge funds with light regulation have a comparative advantage vs. regulated institutions in intermediating institutional rigidities, which defines their functional purpose in the financial “ecosystem.”

---

1. Ken French is a member of the Board of Directors for and provide consulting services to Dimensional Fund Advisors LP.
Traditional alpha vs. dimensional alpha

• In the CAPM equilibrium, the market portfolio is the OCRA for mean-variance investors, and those investors hold the same risky portfolio of assets. However, in more complete equilibrium models, investors use securities to hedge other dimensions of risk in addition to the overall market risk. So in general, investors will not hold the same proportions of risky assets, and thus the market portfolio will not be mean-variance efficient [aka OCRA], and the CAPM will fail.

• The existence of alphas relative to the passive market benchmark is entirely consistent with perfect-market and efficient-market conditions, and these alphas are long-run sustainable because these are risks that, on balance, investors are willing to pay a risk premium to avoid.

• While theoretical structural models suggest the potential identity of these other dimensions of risk, the search for these dimensions with alphas has been largely empirical, resulting in reduced-form models with surrogate dimensions and factors, rather than the actual structural ones. Well-known examples of factors that appear to have significant alphas over long time periods and across geopolitical borders are size of company [small – large], ratio of book-to-market value [high – low], ratio of profits-to-market value, and possibly liquidity [low – high].

• Alphas from identified dimensions of risk with risk premiums are called “dimensional alphas.”
The Search for Dimensional Alpha

Empirical dimensions of risks with risk premiums

- Fama/French¹ (1992): Market, Size, and Value
- Other: Profitability, Momentum, and Illiquidity

Conditions for evaluation of candidates for a dimension of risk with a risk premium

- **Apriori** reasoning supported by financial economic theory, consistent with information-efficient market
- Persistent: statistically significant risk premium over very long history [~50+ years]
- Pervasive: statistically significant risk premium across geopolitical borders
- Continuous: the risk premium observed in proportion to exposure to the dimension, across all securities
- Robust: possible to identify exposure to dimension that is not sensitive to precise parameter estimates
- Implementation: exposure to the dimension implemented in a scalable, cost-effective, and reliable fashion

---

¹ Eugene Fama and Ken French are members of the Board of Directors for and provide consulting services to Dimensional Fund Advisors LP.
Hedge Funds: A Case Study on Alpha-Source Performance Attribution

Relation between hedge fund returns and corporate bond illiquidity risk factor 1994–2010

Performance attribution among traditional alpha, financial-services alpha, and dimensional alpha

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Alpha w/o factor</th>
<th>t-stat Alpha</th>
<th>Alpha with factor</th>
<th>t-stat Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convertible Arbitrage</td>
<td>7.23%</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>3.07%</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Short</td>
<td>-1.27%</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-1.48%</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Markets</td>
<td>12.48%</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity Market Neutral</td>
<td>6.07%</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>1.65%</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event Driven</td>
<td>8.65%</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>6.38%</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income Arbitrage</td>
<td>9.47%</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>4.33%</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Macro</td>
<td>10.98%</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.29%</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long/Short Equity</td>
<td>10.07%</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>4.53%</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed Futures</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>3.82%</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Strategy</td>
<td>7.39%</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cumulative Returns Corp Bond
Illiquidity Risk Portfolio
1994–2010 Evidence in support for financial-service alpha source

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.
Decomposition of the Sources of Alpha: Summary

**Investment management process**
- Only three primary ways to manage risk: diversification, hedging, and insuring
- Market portfolio: foundation component of the optimal combination of risky assets (OCRA)
- Failure of the CAPM implies alpha exists relative to the passive market portfolio benchmark

**Benefits of decomposition of the sources of alpha: seeking to create superior performance over the market portfolio**
- Improved asset manager performance evaluation and assessment of potential future performance
- Improved asset allocation among alpha-generating investments within the total portfolio from better estimates of the correlations among alpha-generating investment returns
- More temporally stable organization of the active management activities along alpha source lines vs. asset class or style lines.

**Traditional alpha vs. financial-services alpha: performance and sustainability**
- Functions served by financial institutions as part of the financial ecosystem

**Traditional alpha vs. dimensional alpha: performance and sustainability**
- The search for dimensional alpha
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