| Item 1. Name of the benchmark administrator. | STOXX Ltd. | |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Item 2. Type of benchmark. | Equity | |
| Item 3. Name of the benchmark. | Benchmark – DAX ESG Screened Index Family – DAX Environmental Social & Governance Equity Family | |
| Item 4. Does the methodology take into account ESG factors? | Yes | |
| Item 5. ESG factors taken into | account for benchmark family. | |
| (a) List of environmental factors considered | This benchmark family takes the following environmental factor Global Standards Screening; Environmental Ratings, Overall Thermal Coal; Nuclear Power; Power Generation from Fossil Classification | ESG Ratings; Oil & Gas; |
| | This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by selection: Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings. | |
| | This benchmark family takes the following environmental factors into account by weighting: Environmental Ratings, Overall ESG Ratings. | |
| (b) List of social factors considered | This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by exclusion: Global Standards Screening; Social Rating, Overall ESG Rating; Controversial Weapons; Weapons (Civilian Firearms & Military Contracting); Tobacco; Subsectorial Classification. | |
| | This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by selection: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating. | |
| | This benchmark family takes the following social factors into account by weighting: Social Rating; Overall ESG Rating. | |
| (c) List of governance factors considered. | This benchmark family takes the following governance factors Global Standards Screening; Governance Rating; Overall ESC | |
| | This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by selection: Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating. | |
| | This benchmark family takes the following governance factors into account by weighting: Governance Rating; Overall ESG Rating. | |
| Item 6. ESG factors applied for | the stated benchmark. | |
| (a) List of environmental factors considered | UN Global Compact Violations | Exclusion |
| | Product Involvement – Nuclear Power Service | Exclusion |
| | | |

| | Product Involvement – Nuclear Power Uranium | Exclusion | |
|--|---|-----------|--|
| | Product Involvement – Thermal Coal Mining | Exclusion | |
| | Product Involvement – Thermal Coal Power Generation | Exclusion | |
| | Product Involvement – Oil Sands | Exclusion | |
| | Overall ESG Score | Exclusion | |
| | | | |
| (b) List of social factors considered | UN Global Compact Violations | Exclusion | |
| | Business Involvement – Controversial Weapons | Exclusion | |
| | Product Involvement – Military Equipment | Exclusion | |
| | Product Involvement – Tobacco | Exclusion | |
| | Product Involvement-Civilian Firearms | Exclusion | |
| | Overall ESG Score | Exclusion | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (c) List of governance factors considered. | UN Global Compact Violations | Exclusion | |
| | Overall ESG Score | Exclusion | |
| | | | |
| Description of factors considered. | UNGC Violations: ISS' Global Standards Screening provides an assessment of a company's impact on stakeholders and the extent to which a company causes, contributes or is linked to violations of international norms and standards (UNGC, OECD, UNGPs and their underlying conventions). | | |
| | Controversial Weapons ISS' Controversial Weapons Radar monitors company involvement in the following areas: anti-personnel mines, biological and chemical weapons, cluster weapons, depleted uranium, nuclear weapons and white phosphorus weapons. | | |
| | Product Involvement: ISS' Product Involvement Research identifies companies' involvement on a range of product involvement categories. | | |
| | Overall ESG Rating: ISS' ESG Ratings measure how well issuers proactively manage the environmental, social and governance issues that are the most material to their business. | | |
| | More detailed information on the application of these categories is available within the relevant methodology guides. | | |
| | https://www.dax-indices.com/ressourcen#guides | | |
| Item 7. Data and standards us | _l ed | | |
| (a) Data input. | The data are sourced externally from: | | |
| | | | |
| | (i) ISS ESG | | |

| (b) Verification and quality of data. | The verification and quality of data are checked both externally and internally by automated and manual quality assurance processes involving, inter alia, change control; change mapping; ID mapping; exception reporting; pre-publication reviews; continuous incident monitoring; quarterly rebalancing and centralised definitions. |
|--|--|
| (c) Reference standards | UNGC Violations: The United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) Principles, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), and their underlying conventions. |
| | Controversial Weapons: International treaties and conventions used to define Controversial Weapons include Non-proliferation Treaty (1968), Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (1972), Chemical Weapons Convention (1997), Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention (1999), Convention on Cluster Munitions (2008), United Nations Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (1980), and Convention on the Physical Protection of Nuclear Material (1980). |
| | ESG Rating: The input comprises company reports, quantitative data from external sources, such as greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions or water consumption, materiality assessments as provided by companies, and third-party research, including regulatory news, sustainability Account Standards Board (SASB) information and assessments from the United Nations-supported Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI). |
| Date on which information has been last updated and reason for the update: | May 2022 - First Version Methodology Change |